

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, JANUARY 30, 2009
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S033901**PEOPLE v. THOMPSON
(CATHERINE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to April 6, 2009.

S048543**PEOPLE v. ROUNTREE
(CHARLES F.)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter Hensley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 27, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S049741**PEOPLE v. SUFF (WILLIAM
LESTER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 24, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S050102**PEOPLE v. HENSLEY (PAUL
LOYDE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Clifford E. Zall's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by July 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S052210**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ, JR.,
(JERRY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Katherine Alfieri's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S057156**PEOPLE v. CASE (CHARLES
EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S062417**PEOPLE v. SILVERIA
(DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS
(JOHN RAYMOND)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that he anticipates filing appellant John Raymond Travis's opening brief by mid-to late 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 7, 2009. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S065573**PEOPLE v. BECERRA
(FRANK KALIL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Alison Bernstein's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2009. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S086578**PEOPLE v. LOOT
(KENDRICK) & MILLSAP
(BRUCE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Bruce Millsap and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 1, 2009.

S093456**PEOPLE v. THOMAS (ALEX
DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sharon E. Loughner's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by February 28, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 2, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S095868**PEOPLE v. DANIELS (DAVID
SCOTT)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 3, 2009.

S101984**PEOPLE v. CHISM (CALVIN
DION)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by July 2, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S111336**FIGUEROA (VICENTE
BENAVIDES) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kelly E. Lebel's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by August 5, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S115872**PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL, JR.,
(RAMON)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 10, 2009.

S141210**MANRIQUEZ (ABELINO) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John R. Reese's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 5, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 6, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S156682**WILLIAMS (GEORGE
BRETT) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Daniel N. Abrahamson's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 11, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 16, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S164550**MARQUEZ (HELENA
SANCHEZ) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to March 27, 2009.

S165507**WOOLEY (HARRY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 4, 2009.

S166747 B198228 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **GREENELSH (KATHRYN A.)
v. JOHNSON (ROBERT L.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 17, 2009.

S157098 **BUNYARD (JERRY
THOMAS) ON H.C.**

Order filed

The order filed January 26, 2009, granting an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response is corrected nunc pro tunc as to the case title.

S168047 **STRAUSS (KAREN L.) v.
HORTON (MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of Consumer Attorneys of California to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by Concerned with Gender Equality et al. filed in support of petitioners is hereby granted.

S168047 **STRAUSS (KAREN L.) v.
HORTON (MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application to add additional labor organizations as amici curiae to a brief already filed in support of petitioners and filed on behalf of California Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO, and 52 other organizations is hereby granted.

S168066 **TYLER (ROBIN) v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of Consumer Attorneys of California to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by Concerned with Gender Equality et al. filed in support of petitioners is hereby granted.

S168066**TYLER (ROBIN) v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application to add additional labor organizations as amici curiae to a brief already filed in support of petitioners and filed on behalf of California Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO, and 52 other organizations is hereby granted.

S168078**SAN FRANCISCO, CITY &
COUNTY OF v. HORTON
(MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of Consumer Attorneys of California to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by Concerned with Gender Equality et al. filed in support of petitioners is hereby granted.

S168078**SAN FRANCISCO, CITY &
COUNTY OF v. HORTON
(MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application to add additional labor organizations as amici curiae to a brief already filed in support of petitioners and filed on behalf of California Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO, and 52 other organizations is hereby granted.

S169696**TATE (CLARENCE
DEMETRIUS) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S169702**ELDRIDGE (JOHN D.) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S169742**TIERNEY (MICHAEL C.) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.